

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,479   | 03/01/2004                            | Darrell Reginald May  | 85002               | 9515             |
| 27975 7590 01/25/2008<br>ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. |                                       | EXAMINER              |                     |                  |
| 1401 CITRUS  | CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE | RAMPURIA, SHARAD K    |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 379<br>ORLANDO, F   |                                       | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                     |                  |
|  | 232002 3731                           |                       | 2617                | •                |
|  |                                       |                       | ·                   |                  |
|  |                                       |                       | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                       | •                     | 01/25/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

|  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/790,479   | MAY ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Sharad Rampuria  | 2617   |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2007.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)[  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-14 and 16-23</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-14 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).                          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  | •  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date                        |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

I. The current office-action is in response to the remarks filed on 11/30/2007.
 Accordingly, Claims 8, 15 are cancelled and Claims 1-7, 9-14 and 16-23 are imminent for further assessment as follows:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- II. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-14 and 16-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Koskan** [US 6181956] in view of **Kuboyama** et al. [US 20040186728].

As per claims 1, 16, **Koskan** teaches:

10/790,479 Art Unit: 2617

A mobile wireless cellular communications device (Abstract, Col.2; 7-17) comprising:

A wireless cellular transceiver (120; Fig.2, Col.2; 18-38) and a controller (240; Fig.2, Col.2; 29-37, Col.2; 39-52) for cooperating therewith for receiving text messages from a wireless communications network; (Col.2; 39-52) and

When in the audio message mode, outputting at least one audio message comprising speech generated from at least one of the received text messages via said headset output. (Col.2; 39-63, Col.2; 64-Col.3; 15, Col.3; 32-38).

Koskan doesn't teach specifically, a headset output connected to said controller; said controller for switching between a normal message mode and a hands-free audio message mode based upon a connection between said headset output and a headset. However, Kuboyama teaches in an analogous art, that a headset (103; Fig.1, Paragraph 00052) output connected to said controller; said controller for switching between a normal message mode and a hands-free audio message mode based upon a connection between said headset output and a headset. (e.g. a switching control step of making output destination switching control that outputs the speech information corresponding to the text information to the audio output device when it is determined in the determination step that the audio output device is connected, and outputs the text information to the display means when it is determined in the determination step that the audio output device is not connected; Paragraph 0024-0025, 0052, 0074) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Koskan including a headset output connected to said controller; said controller for switching between a normal message mode and a hands-free audio message mode based upon a connection between

10/790,479

Art Unit: 2617

said headset output and a headset in order to appropriately switching for providing information to the user in correspondence with an information acquisition environment of that user.

As per claims 2, 10, 17, 21, Koskan teaches:

The mobile wireless communications device of claims 1, 9, 16, 20, wherein said headset output comprises a wireless headset output for establishing a wireless connection with the headset. (120; Fig.1, Col.1; 62-66)

As per claims 4, 11, 18, 22, Koskan teaches:

The mobile wireless communications device of claims 1, 9, 16, 20, further comprising a user interface device connected to said controller, and wherein said controller switches to the audio message mode based upon an audio message mode command provided by a user via said user interface device. (250; Fig.2, Col.2; 29-37, Col.2; 64-Col.3; 15)

As per claim 5, Koskan teaches:

The mobile wireless communications device of claim 4 wherein said user interface device comprises a keypad connected to said controller. (255; Fig.2, Col.2; 29-37, Col.2; 64-Col.3; 15)

As per claims 6, 12, 19, 23, Koskan teaches:

The mobile wireless communications device of claims 1, 9, 16, 20, further comprising a text-to-speech module for cooperating with said controller to convert the at least one text message to the at least one audio message. (Col.3; 5-9, 32-38)

Art Unit: 2617

As per claims 7, 14, Koskan teaches:

The mobile wireless communications device of claims 1, 9, further comprising a display connected to said controller for displaying the text messages. (252; Fig.2, Col.2; 29-37, Col.3; 28-31)

*Claim* 9 is the system claim corresponding to device claim 1 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

As per claim 13, **Koskan** teaches:

The communications system of claim 9 wherein said controller is also for generating a conversion request for the at least one text message and cooperating with the wireless transceiver to forward the conversion request to said wireless communications network; and wherein said wireless communications network receives the conversion request and further comprises a text-to-speech module for converting the at least one text message to the at least one audio message, and wherein said wireless communications network sends the at least one audio message to said at least one wireless communications device. (240; Fig.2, Col.2; 64-Col.3; 15, Col.3; 32-38)

Claim 20 is the computer readable medium claim corresponding to device claim 1 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

Art Unit: 2617

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Koskan & Kuboyama** further in view of Zahavi et al. [US 6577859].

As per claim 3, the above combination teaches all the particulars of the claim except wherein said headset output comprises a headset jack for a wired headset. However, Zahavi teaches in an analogous art, that the mobile wireless communications device of claim 1 wherein said headset output comprises a headset jack for a wired headset. [24; Fig.2, Col.7; 46-50] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination including wherein said headset output comprises a headset jack for a wired headset in order to provide a system and method which allows a cellular phone user to communicate with a caller when the user is unable to speak aloud.

#### Response to Remarks

III. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### Relating to Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-14 and 16-23:

The declaration filed on 11/30/2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is insufficient to overcome the **Kuboyama** et al. [US 20040186728] reference.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the

10/790,479

Art Unit: 2617

**Kuboyama** et al. [US 20040186728] reference. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention, because the exhibits 1-6 have not adequate proof of inventive-materials for claimed-limitations, even though the exhibits includes the e-mail documents, but, technically, in comparison between the evidence and the present application, they are not sufficiently indicated the inventive-materials for claimed-limitations.

Hence, it is believed that **Kuboyama** still teaches the claimed limitations.

In view of the fact that, Applicant doesn't provide any argument about the rejection of above references, with the intention of that explanation, it is believed and as enlighten above, the refutation are sustained.

#### **Conclusion**

IV. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or EBC@uspto.gov.

/Sharad Rampuria/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617